Portrait of Julie Rosewald. Popular Entertainment (Opera Cards #620).
(Courtesy Harvard Theatre Collection. Houghton Library, Harvard University)
Between 1884 and 1893, despite the Jewish tradition of *kol isha* prohibiting women from singing the lead in synagogue services, a woman soprano soloist served as cantor at Temple Emanu-El in San Francisco. Affectionately called “Cantor Soprano” by her congregation, Julie Eichberg Rosewald (1847–1906) sang the solo parts in all services and directed the music of the synagogue. Rosewald’s role was unique in America until 1955, when Betty Robbins began officiating as cantor at Temple Avodah in Oceanside, New York.

This paper will lay out a description of the musical career of Rosewald and offer the evidence of her extraordinary role at Temple Emanu-El. Although she was not “invested” as a cantor, she led the services for her congregation, chose the music, served as choir director, and collaborated with the organist. In these capacities, she served the functions of a cantor.

This role was but one aspect of an illustrious career spanning the worlds of opera and concert stage, to composer, author, teacher, and full professor of music. Rosewald’s life and career, and particularly her singing career culminating as America’s first woman cantor, contribute to our understanding of cultural activities of Jewish women in America. In light of changing nineteenth-century American attitudes concerning the limited sphere of women in music, her accomplishments are all the more outstanding.

In late-nineteenth-century America, new ideologies were beginning to take shape among American women that generally redefined their attitudes toward their roles in society. The ideal of “real womanhood” that had predominated earlier in the century and the nature of women’s proper sphere of work were part of these changes. Women became active outside the home in various social and reform movements, including the abolition, settlement house, temperance, and labor movements. Women writers emphasized the role of women as moral guardians of society and nurturers of American democracy. Women gained access to higher education, which helped young women “find their way to an independent identity and to help them prepare for achievement.” By participating in these various reforms, women began to question the philosophies that relegated them solely to the domestic sphere. Educated women “insisted on greater freedom in the way they dressed, in their choice of friends, and in their selection of lifetime work.” Women had gained new insights into their capabilities from their successes.
The concept of the “New Woman,” seen today as a sort of proto-feminist movement, was already widespread among American women by the 1880s. This New Woman was very different from the traditional ideals of womanhood, which defined women’s roles at home and in society. “The New Woman’s primary distinguishing characteristics included both an independent spirit and commitment to a lifetime career,” two traits that will be recognized in the biography of Julie Rosewald. The New Woman believed that each individual had the right to fulfill her potential through work, which in turn would improve the moral fiber of society.

Even before the Civil War, American Jewish women were heavily influenced by the general societal ideals of religious roles for women. Women were encouraged to be active in synagogue life, as many Protestant women were in their churches. The 1850s through 1870s witnessed an extraordinary expansion of reforms in Jewish worship that included movements for mixed choirs and mixed seating in family pews.

Some women were creatively or artistically active in their Jewish and secular world. For example, Penina Moïse (1797–1880), whose poetry appeared in general publications such as The Charleston Courier, The Boston Daily Times, Heriot’s Magazine, and The Godey’s Lady’s Book, also published in Jewish journals such as The Occident. In 1842, Moïse published sixty of her religious poems in Hymns Written for the Use of Hebrew Congregations. The revised 1856 edition contained more than 190 of Moïse’s hymns. Many of these works were later adopted as part of the 1897 Union Hymnal published by the Reform movement. Her contributions to Jewish life were a precursor to more active involvement by women in synagogues.

The spirit of the late nineteenth century opened new opportunities for women in the Western states. In San Francisco, Emma Wolf (1865–1932) was writing short stories that appeared in local and national magazines. Her 1892 novel, Other Things Being Equal, which concentrated on the struggles of a Jewish woman, was widely read. Another Jewish woman in California, Rachel Frank (1861–1948), was crossing boundaries of traditional Jewish roles for women. Starting in the 1890s, Frank gave sermons in synagogues and published speeches throughout California and in other Western states. The so-called “Maiden in the Temple” caused a sensation, and interested readers of the Jewish press followed her activities. Her admission to Hebrew Union College (HUC) in Cincinnati in 1893 was widely reported. Speculation abounded whether a woman might really become a rabbi, as the Reform movement avowed acceptance of more rights for women within the synagogue. Since 1875, when Julia Ettlinger had studied at HUC in the college’s first class, some women had committed to serious Jewish study: “From the 1890s forward, in almost every decade, there were one or more women studying for the rabbinate.”
It is not surprising that some of the most progressive attitudes came from California, as California was a place “that more than any other appeared from the outset to project—as seen from a Jewish perspective—a sense of America at its most promising, open, and refreshing.” By the 1870s, San Francisco’s openness allowed “Jews of all origins and persuasions … to enjoy the freedom to pursue varied opportunities and lead vibrant lives, to assimilate the best of modern America and the modern world, and to satisfy their special needs as Jews.” San Francisco’s Jews were proud of their large and impressive Reform Temple Emanu-El. “Like no other building in the nation, the region’s cathedral synagogue dramatically came to symbolize the freedom, equality, openness and fraternity of America and of the West for Jews and others.” With this vital atmosphere in mind, it is not hard to believe that in the 1880s, nearly a decade earlier than Wolf’s novel or Frank’s rabbinical studies, progressive and open-minded individuals at Temple Emanu-El could invite a woman vocalist to their temple and permit her to accept a role as a cantor.

How did it occur that Julie Rosewald was chosen as soloist in the temple and became “Cantor Soprano”? After all, San Francisco’s Jewish population by 1870 was the second largest in the United States. The Jews were 8 percent of the overall city population. San Francisco was a booming town with a sophisticated and elaborate opera culture. There were ten opera houses and twenty-seven opera companies with dozens of top-notch singers; between 1880 and 1890 there were more than 1,100 performances reported, and in the next decade there were more than 2,200. Consequently, the city was home to many Jewish people and many fine singers, male and female.

Temple Emanu-El’s request was not proffered to just any singer, for Rosewald was an international singing star with an enormous repertoire that included music used in Reform synagogues. She had a distinguished musical and Jewish background, including the study of Hebrew, through her family of cantors. She sang with her husband, Jacob H. Rosewald, in the Baltimore community, participated in Jewish musical events and charity work, and embarked on a brilliantly successful opera career. The offer for her to serve the synagogue in the capacity of cantor, seen in this light, seems almost a completely natural outcome of her talents, special abilities, and life story, rather than the bold or revolutionary decision it may seem today.

Rosewald’s qualifications alone would not have been enough to enable her to act as a cantor, however, if they had not come to light at a unique time and place. Changes in how American women viewed themselves, combined with societal openness made possible by the New Woman movement and California’s Western spirit, opened the door for “Cantor Soprano.” American women were stretching the boundaries in many areas of society, and Rosewald was able to take advantage of these changes and enter a leadership role in her Jewish world. Indeed, her career may be an exemplar of a Jewish woman who
integrates herself into American values and lifestyle in the nineteenth century while maintaining a strong Jewish identity. Given this societal context, perhaps other Jewish women were similarly enabled, but the record has been glossed over. Therefore, additional examination of the historical record may prove fruitful in identifying other cases of Jewish women’s accomplishments in the arts and elsewhere during this period.

Rosewald’s step onto the pulpit was the culmination of a combination of important factors in her education, training, accomplishments, and Jewish family background. Understanding these factors is important in explaining who she was and provides the context in which her contemporaries viewed her. This context occurred during the era of post-emancipation in Germany and the rise of the New Woman in America. Her background and social milieu help explain circumstances driving her story and triumphal accomplishments. First, this paper examines the musical and family background that brought a young German-Jewish artist to the United States; then her musical training and early singing career; and finally her role as an international opera star, culminating with her extraordinary California career as cantor and pedagogue. In addition, we will briefly look at individuals supporting Rosewald and the Reform Jewish community’s desire for high-level musical performance during worship as timely factors in her success story.

Rosewald’s Background; German-Jewish Women Singers

Growing up in Germany, Rosewald was familiar with the expected roles of German Jewish women. Despite the emergence of Reform Judaism early in the nineteenth century, Jewish women musicians in Europe found themselves relegated to the sidelines within Jewish worship. Attitudes derived from Jewish tradition that the voice of a woman leading men in worship distracted from prayer, lingered in many temples. Neither were women allowed to lead services or serve as shaliach tzibbur, the “messenger of the people” or cantor. While some Reform synagogues began to include soprano, alto, tenor, and bass (SATB) choirs in place of all-men and -boys choirs, there were no leadership roles for women musicians. Cantors in European synagogues were all men. For the most part, Jewish women musicians found venues for their creative talents outside the synagogue and Jewish community, in art music and West European culture. There they flourished as musicians, especially vocalists.

Women of the emancipated generation in Germany were often not free to perform in public, however. Fanny Mendelssohn (1805–1847) belonged to that group of middle- and upper-class Jewish women and those newly converted to Christianity who stayed in their salons and homes. Despite the significant recognition Mendelssohn received through her own musical salon and the social status she gained from her family’s conversion to Christianity, she was never able to perform in “public,” as it was considered unseemly. Her brother Felix
Mendelssohn’s seeming opposition to Fanny’s performing in public or publishing music likely stemmed from concerns about public criticism of her work or her social status. She was hesitant herself; so despite encouragement from her husband, Wilhelm Hensel, only in the last year of her life did she publish some of her music. After she died suddenly from a stroke in 1847, Felix published more of it posthumously.21

In the Victorian age, many people differentiated between a female vocalist and a female instrumentalist in evoking sexual undertones in performance. The public was split between those who felt the female voice evoked “the presence of God” and those who felt the female voice was a “siren song” of dangerous temptation.22 Nevertheless, female vocalists in Europe were some of the most highly paid women of the era. They often received expensive gifts. Their portraits might be placed in storefronts alongside those of politicians. They were extremely popular, and “diva reception” was a lure akin to today’s rock idols.

Many emancipated German-Jewish families followed the path set by non-Jews and had their talented daughters musically educated as a socially acceptable “woman’s accomplishment.”23 “German bourgeois women were expected to play the piano and to entertain their husbands and children…. The Jewish bourgeoisie was quick to emulate its counterpart.”24 Occasionally, serious talent was developed in some families, and members would play for each other at home. In terms of public performance, however, Jewish women were in a different position than their counterparts. Because they could not achieve complete social status without converting to Christianity, it is possible that they did not face as great a stigma or loss of social standing as their non-Jewish counterparts by appearing on the musical stage.

Additionally, many middle- and upper-class families made even finer distinctions between their daughters’ giving concerts of vocal art music and performing on the operatic stage, where there was a line that could be crossed to becoming an “actress,” which at that time had tremendous negative connotations. The opera was associated with intense passions. While German families did not have the same deep belief as the English that “constant admiration was an influence likely to degrade the character of the female performing artist,”25 there was still some question of the woman singer being welcomed into respectable society as a diva.

Nevertheless, many of these talented German-Jewish women made their way to the opera and concert stages, including five Heinefetter sisters, three of whom—Sabina Heinefetter (1809–1872),26 Maria, known under the name Stöckl-Heinefetter (1816–1857), and Kathinka (1820–1858)—were renowned in European opera. Others included Jenny Meyer (1834–1894);27 Pauline Lucca (1840–1908); Marie Heilbron (1849–1886);28 Charlotte Sophia von Wertheimer (1795–1877); and Karoline Stern (1800–1850?), to whom Heinrich Heine dedicated a poem.29 Of special note were Marie Sulzer (1828–1892), Henriette

“Cantor Soprano” Julie Rosewald: The Musical Career of a Jewish American “New Woman” • 5
Sulzer (1832–1907), and Sophie Sulzer (1840–1885), daughters of the famous Vienna Obercantor Salomon Sulzer (1804–1890). Some musicians, along with many other Jewish young people of mid-nineteenth-century Germany, immigrated to America.

The Eichberg Family of Stuttgart
Obercantor Moritz Eichberg and Eleanor Seligsberg Eichberg of Stuttgart were the heads of a musically talented family, including three daughters—Pauline, Julia, and Bertha—who went on to performance careers. Moritz was born 18 December 1806 in Bad Mergentheim, Germany, and his wife, Eleanor, was born 14 June 1811 in Bamberg, Germany. The couple was married 23 May 1837. Moritz was the youngest of eight children of Samuel Jonas Eichberg (born 23 August 1757 and died 29 July 1831 in Bechhofen, Germany) and Pauline Beile Low (born 1765 in Affaltrach, Germany). Samuel Jonas was the cantor and kosher butcher in Mergentheim. Moritz followed his footsteps to the cantorate and studied Talmud in Fürth, and then he returned home and joined his father in his duties. In 1832, he sat for the required examinations of Württemberg, Germany, as a school teacher and precentor (cantor) and passed with a grade of “well qualified.” Moritz was invited to Stuttgart to apply for the newly created position of Vorsänger and led the first service there, which included choral music on the Shabbat of Hanukkah in 1833.

The Stuttgart Jewish community, while quite old, had been newly reorganized in 1832, and it became the local rabbinical center. Eichberg was found to be naturally gifted and musically sophisticated, a man of good humor and quick wit. He had fully mastered the southern German chazzanut (Jewish liturgical music) and had a beautiful tenor voice. He was officially hired as cantor in Stuttgart in 1834.

In April 1837 the community purchased a lot, and by October of that year a synagogue stood at 16 Langen Strasse. The building had a women’s gallery and two courtyard staircases, with the Holy Ark, lectern, and bimah (raised platform) on the east side of the prayer room. “Vorsänger Eichberg,” along with members of the royal court band, were deployed for the musical presentations at the inaugural ceremonies. For nearly thirty years the community grew steadily, so that by 1862 a modern, Moorish style building was erected, containing an organ and reflecting the influences of both Reform and high rates of assimilation to German cultural tastes.

The Eichberg family lived opposite the Conservatory of Music in Stuttgart. Their five children were Pauline, born 22 April 1839; Emilie, born 25 November 1840; Bertha, born 5 April 1844; Julia (or Julie), born 7 March 1847, and Antonie, born 26 October 1850. Four of the five children—all but Emilie—were recognized as musically talented.
Julie Eichberg’s Early Education

Julie’s parents recognized her musical talent when she was quite young and “early intended her for the lyric stage,” a socially acceptable path for young singers. She was sent at age ten to gain a general music education at the Stuttgart Conservatorium. Around age twelve she won a high honor by being accepted to studies at the Royal Theatre School in Stuttgart. At that time, the king annually selected only two students with “promising voices” from the conservatory to attend the theater school. Along with her powerful soprano voice, Julie made an appealing figure. She was 5 feet 1 inch in height, with hazel eyes, dark brown hair, a small oval face with a high forehead, and an aquiline nose. She remained at the school for four years.

Unfortunately, the death from typhus of her sister Bertha, an accomplished harpist, just shy of her twentieth birthday on 10 February 1864, caused the Eichberg parents to reevaluate their plans for Julie, and instead they wished her to quit her musical ventures. However, those years at the conservatory and Royal Theatre School had set Julie’s ambitions toward opera and the stage rather than the concert hall or a home parlor.

America

According to one reporter’s interview with Julie Eichberg Rosewald years later, her mother decided to send Julie to America to “break her connection to the German stage.” Julie had nearly completed her conservatory studies by the time she left Germany. After traveling through Liverpool, she arrived in New York at age eighteen in May 1865. She was to live with her married sister, Mrs. Pauline Eichberg Weiller, a former concert pianist but by 1865, a piano teacher with two small children in Baltimore.

Julie and her sister enjoyed a number of years together where they participated in the musical events of Baltimore. For example, they both performed as soloists in the Peabody Institute’s American concert series during the 1867–1868 season and were named as “the chief attractions for the general public.” Pauline Weiller and Julie were among the solo performers specifically named by the board of trustees report of 1868 concerning this landmark event. On 24 October 1867 Pauline, Julie, and Jacob Rosewald appeared together at a benefit concert for The Home of the Friendless at the Concordia Opera House in Baltimore.

Julie had met Jacob Rosewald, a violinist, conductor and composer, around 1865. She married him on 7 October 1866, in Baltimore, with Cantor J. Leucht from Baltimore Hebrew Congregation as officiant. The Rosewalds took up residence in a boarding house in Ward 10 of the city. Later, in 1880, when Julie and Jacob were touring, they used the home of her sister Antonie and David Oppenheimer, a wholesale jeweler, as their address of record, at 431 West Fayette Street.
Jacob Rosewald, a native of Baltimore, earned a living as a musician, at various times conducting, composing, playing violin and occasionally organ or keyboard, and teaching music. As violinist at the Peabody Conservatory, he was an important musician in the community. He was also well known as choral conductor of the Liederkranz, a type of Männerchöre, a men’s singing society, which was a common feature in German-American communities.54 Rosewald composed and published music and taught music in the public schools. He was described as a sweet man who wore glasses and sported a moustache.55 He had black hair, light brown eyes, and stood 5 feet 6 inches.56

Jacob and Julie participated together in the musical life of Baltimore in both the general and Jewish communities. In 1871, he led the Liederkranz and directed the program at the two-day Beethoven commemorations that were held at the Concordia Opera House in Baltimore. Julie sang the solos for selections from the Oratorio “Christ on Mount Olive.”57 In April 1873, both Julie and her husband participated as musicians in the consecration ceremonies for Bishop Gross.58 On 18 May 1873, Jacob composed music for the dedication of the Hebrew Orphan Asylum on Calverton Heights. Both he and his wife participated as performers:

About half-past two o'clock, the choir, consisting of about sixty-five voices, comprising the combined choirs of the synagogues of the city, opened the services with the singing of an introductory hymn, Prof. Rosewald, the leader, presiding at the organ. A fervent prayer was then offered by Rev. Dr. Szold. A dedicatory ode composed by Roy A. Hoffman, and put to music by Prof. Rosewald, was then sung by the entire choir with striking effect. A trio in this piece was handsomely sung by Mrs. Rosewald, Miss K. Benner, and Miss Jennie Putzel.59

The public performance of women and men in mixed choral groups demonstrates the Jewish community’s coming together for important events with full participation for all. The community made distinctions between what took place in synagogue worship and in the public sphere. Mixed choirs were often used for public dedication ceremonies for Jewish institutions, including synagogue consecration ceremonies. During most of the nineteenth century, even Orthodox congregations in New York, Philadelphia, and elsewhere “saw
no problem in organizing choirs of men and women for their consecration celebrations,” as these ceremonies were not “subject to the usual restraints of Jewish public workshop.”

While Jacob’s father was a stalwart in an Orthodox synagogue in Baltimore, Jacob and Julie shifted toward the newer style of Jewish worship, possibly due to their interest in excellent western European music. By Rosh Hashanah 1873, Jacob was reported as the organist and the leader of the choir for Har Sinai Temple, a synagogue with Reform tendencies that included mixed choral singing. Julie was named among the “lady members” of the choir.

These experiences in the 1860s and 1870s in Baltimore laid a solid foundation for Julie and Jacob Rosewald. They both performed in Jewish synagogue and community events. Jacob served as musical director of Jewish and other choirs, utilizing his familiarity with Jewish synagogue music by playing organ for many holidays and special occasions at synagogues and other Jewish venues. Julie frequently sang in congregational and Jewish community events. These experiences gave them both a solid foundation in mid-nineteenth-century Jewish synagogue music. This accumulated knowledge, in conjunction with their families’ traditional backgrounds—Jacob’s family’s Baltimore Orthodox synagogue and Julie’s familiarity with southern German chazzanut from the home of her father—would lay the groundwork for important developments for Julie Rosewald in future decades.

Julie Rosewald’s Early Singing Career

In the late 1860s, Julie Rosewald began to appear in concerts in Baltimore with great success. Julie “earnestly requested” to study music further in Europe. In 1870, her husband finally agreed. She started her year of studies with Amalie Marongelli in Stuttgart. She then worked with Maria von Marra (1822–1878) in Frankfurt and Pauline Viardot-Garcia (1821–1910) in Paris. Julie was invited to tour Bonn, Salzburg and elsewhere in Europe, but she “declined at the request of her husband and family.”

Franz Abt (1819–1885), the German composer of hundreds of the most popular songs of the day, including “When the Swallows Homeward Fly,” asked Julie to accompany him to interpret his songs in his concert tour to the United States in 1872. Abt was received by huge rallies of the German singing societies, banquets, and torchlight parades in New York, Boston, Washington, Baltimore, Chicago, St. Louis, and other cities. So great an event was Julie’s returning to America with Abt that it was mentioned as part of her achievements in her brief six-line entry in the 1927 edition of Grove’s Dictionary of Music and Musicians.
On 20 June 1872, Julie represented Baltimore in a large event called World’s Peace Jubilee and International Musical Festival, held in Boston. She sang as part of a large chorus. The listing was given the flowery designation on the program “bouquet of artists.” The program consisted of popular works such as the Anvil Chorus from Verdi’s *Il Trovatore*; Gloria, from *Twelfth Mass* by Mozart; and the hymn, “Watchman, Tell Us of the Night,” by Lowell Mason, in which the audience was invited to join along in singing. These types of large-scale, public musical events, often threaded with some elements of American nationalism, were common in post-Civil-War America, but this event was considered monumental and created a national stir. Abt conducted his own music at the World Peace Jubilee. During his tour of the United States, he dedicated some of his songs to Julie Rosewald.

Julie returned home to Baltimore, but she continued to think about the offers in Europe that she had declined. She was not happy about all of the missed opportunities. The various offers only gave her further grounds to believe that she had the skills to do great work on the stage.

Julie’s Opera Career

From then on, Julie Rosewald wanted to sing on the stage and embark on an opera career. The successes and trials of this career would become integral to her American Jewish story. The story reveals not only a ready acceptance by American audiences to a talented Jewish musician in the nineteenth century; it also shows how an American Jewess was able to integrate her American values and modern attitudes with her own identity forged by a strong Jewish family.

In the spring of 1875, unbeknownst to her husband and “on her own responsibility,” Julie arranged for C.D. Hess (1838–1909), an important opera impresario, to hear her sing a concert in Baltimore. Despite her husband’s and family’s objections, Hess then “insisted upon her adopting the operatic stage” and “promised her a permanent engagement provided her debut was a successful one.” Since she was a married woman with a good social standing, her husband and relatives protested strongly against her return to the stage.
Nevertheless, Julie had her way, and she made her debut in Toronto in May 1875 as Marguerite in *Faust* with the Kellogg Opera Company under the direction of Max Strakosch. Julie’s success in Toronto changed her husband’s mind about a career for her in opera. He consented to have her tour.

Clara Louise Kellogg and Hess had already started up a music business relationship in 1873, in which Kellogg managed the “artistic details,” including the music. She planned productions, arranged scores, translated librettos, as well as performed the lead roles in her company. Hess served as “director” and later, between 1877 and 1890, assumed a management role with the Kellogg Opera Company. He persuaded Julie to join this touring English opera company. Prior to this, Julie had only sung in “French, Italian and German,” but in America in the 1870s, opera in English translation was still a norm for many of these traveling troupes.

Hess first engaged Julie for a season in California. Her career skyrocketed as an opera star. She performed in California with Hess’s company at a salary of one hundred fifty dollars a week, starting in June 1875 and extending for three months. This high salary mirrors those of other in-demand female opera stars of the era. She gave her first San Francisco performance on 5 July 1875 at Maguire’s New Theatre as Filina in Thomas’s *Mignon*. She followed that with performances on 29 July as Zerlina in Mozart’s *Don Giovanni*, on 30 July as Arline in Balfe’s *The Bohemian Girl*, on 3 August as Lucia in Donizetti’s *Lucia di Lammermoor*, and on 18 August as Catarina in Auber’s *Crown Diamonds*. A reviewer stated, “Miss Rosewald sang the part of ‘Lucia’ effectively, and barring a few faulty intonations, correctly. The mad scene was a grand triumph, and ensured a hearty recall.” She was noted for singing the Shadow Song from *Dinorah* with “exquisite delicacy of expression.” On 4 August she sang the role of Marguerite in Meyerbeer’s *Les Huguenots*. In an interview, Julie claimed that within four weeks she had learned fifteen operas. In addition to her roles in California, her repertoire included Susanna in *The Marriage of Figaro* (Mozart); Lady Henriette in *Martha* (Flotow); and Edith in the newly completed *Il Talismano* (Balfe).

From these roles, we understand that Rosewald sang primarily as a lyric coloratura soprano.
Upon the end of her season in California, Julie was given an extravagant farewell ball on 21 August 1875. She returned in triumph to Baltimore. Kellogg’s troupe gave several performances there in February 1876. On 23 February 1876, Hess received a petition signed by more than two hundred “pupils and personal friends” of Julie Rosewald, to have an additional performance where they could hear her sing. The next day Hess printed the petition as part of his front-page ad in *The Baltimore Sun*, which stated:

Dear Sir: We, the undersigned pupils and personal friends of Mme. J. H. Rosewald, fearing that some time will elapse before the return of the Kellogg Troupe to this city, and desirous of offering a true token of our appreciation for Mme. Rosewald, both as a lady and as an artist, would respectfully request you, if compatible with your arrangements, to give us an opportunity of hearing Mme. Rosewald a second time in opera before the close of your present season. Respectfully,…

Hess printed many petitioners’ names with the ad. Hess also announced an extra matinee on Saturday. Julie would sing Susanna in Mozart’s *Marriage of Figaro*, no doubt delighting large numbers of people in Baltimore.

In 1876, Kellogg’s company was reorganized, and Julie became one of the chief prima donnas. “The Kellogg Company as now constituted is, no doubt, one of the best musical combinations in the world,” gushed one report in 1876. Julie performed for the first time in Philadelphia with Kellogg’s company on 21 April 1876, appearing as Prascovina and Natalie in Meyerbeer’s *The Star of the North*. The next day, *The Philadelphia Inquirer* gave this review: “The first appearance of Mme. Juila [sic] Rosewald in opera here was one of the items of interest connected with this performance. The lady is evidently not a novice, and sang her part with ease and good taste. Her voice, too, is good, and her method a very fair one.” Julie appeared four times that week in the same opera. An additional review on 25 April 1876 indicated that the Philadelphia audiences were enthralled, showing “their delight at various points with hearty applause.” In addition to appearing with the company, she also concertized around the city with her husband and other soloists outside the opera, as shown through an advertisement for “Doctor Gustave Satter’s Second Grand Concert at Musical Fund Hall” for an appearance on 4 April 1876.

Julie sang with Kellogg for about two years, although not always in featured roles. She sang in eastern American venues such as Boston, New York, Philadelphia, and Newark in early 1876, and later that same year in Detroit, Chicago, and further west. Her November appearances in Meyerbeer’s *Star of the North* in English gained her a fan with a reviewer at the Chicago newspaper *The Inter Ocean*. Today, these very favorable reviews serve as the best descriptions of her voice and qualities as a singer:
A new prima donna, at least to the Western public, made her debut before a Chicago audience last night. We refer to Mme. Julie Rosewald, a lady who for some reason has not gained the prominence in this troupe to which her talents entitle her. Talent she has, and plenty of it. Her voice for a high soprano has an unusual compass, and it is very seldom that a voice of this character is heard with such beautiful full and clear tones in the upper register. The chest tones are round and rich, and possess strong dramatic quality. The volume is large and the timbre fine, and add to these great agility, flexibility, a fine method, and thorough cultivation, and we have in the ensemble one of the best operatic voices that has been heard in Chicago for a long time. Her delivery is good and so is her phrasing. She has slight imperfections in her style, but compared with her excellencies, these are trivial, and not being traceable to natural defects, will be remedied in time. Furthermore, she acts well. In her score the staccato notes, echoes, and florid passages were brilliantly executed.98

The following week the reviewer was even happier with Julie’s role as Filina in Mignon, in which she “had better opportunity to display her voice,” and went on in exquisite detail explaining she had “many chances to exhibit the thorough cultivation of her voice.” The reporter pointed out that “Mme. Rosewald’s execution of the entire polonaise and the florid close were very fine, and she was compelled to repeat it, so hearty was the applause of the audience.” The writer then took the opportunity to give the prima donna this message: “It would augment the effect of her vocalization if she could more thoroughly master the vowels and consonants of our language. Very likely this will follow as the result of service on the operatic stage.” The reviewer concluded that she should ease up in the force of emission, which resulted in “an unevenness in the registers and a slight huskiness in the lower tones,” adding that, “With these exceptions we have nothing to say of Mme. Rosewald’s singing save high praise. It is a voice with body and range and feeling in it, and in a role where dramatic quality is a prime requisite we can imagine just how effective it would be.”99

After Julie appeared in L’Étoile du Nord (Star of the North) as Prascovia, the impressed reviewer conducted a long interview with her and again described her capabilities:

Her voice is one possessing unusual strength and volume. It is finely adapted to the requirements of dramatic music. Its range is over two octaves, with equal strength and roundness in all the registers, from low C to E Flat above the line, an exceptionally high soprano voice. In her singing we have all the pleasure that is derived from a strong and flexible chest soprano voice with dramatic quality, combined with excellent cultivation; especially in the upper register, where the tones are clear and full. The timbre is fine and her method thorough. A severe critic might find some fault with her enunciation of the vowels in the different pitches, on the ground that they are not firm and distinct enough. In some long tones, too, there is a slight want of clearness, probably the result of
a little too much force being used in the emission of the voice. Otherwise it is a splendid voice, and these are small discrepancies when compared with her fine talents. Besides, they are faults which can be remedied with proper care. The cultivation of her voice and its dramatic quality adapt it to both florid and dramatic styles of music. She has a petite figure, makes a pleasing stage appearance, and is a good actress. An earnest and aspiring artist, her future is destined to be a very successful one.100

These types of rave reviews engendered some jealousies within the company, or so the gossip columns suggested. A few days after Chicago, Julie received raves in Detroit, where the press stated: “Miss Kellogg was not feeling very happy that morning. In fact they say that she was more than chagrined at the unequivocal success of Mme. Rosewald on the preceding evening, and the compliments which that lady received in the newspapers of that morning.”102 In general, Julie’s early experiences singing with Kellogg were an excellent start to her career, as the “Clara Louise Kellogg Opera Company is the Crème de la Crème of all our musical gems.”103 Clara Kellogg was a major star in both high-brow and popular circles, and one effusive reviewer described her as “the brightest and sweetest of our musical songstresses. There is a charm in her name, her song and her womanly loveliness combined with exquisite beauty, elegance of style and dress that place her in the front rank of all our American prima donnas.”104 Julie would learn much from Kellogg;105 she toured with her all around the United States, Canada, and possibly in Mexico.106 They “performed about everything popular in the line of grand and light opera.”107 Julie was able to capitalize on her growing reputation and fame in traveling with the Kellogg troupe.

Julie had started off with a repertoire of fifteen operas, which was an extraordinary feat for anyone to master for a three-month engagement. Yet within two years with Kellogg, she had added repertoire from Crispino e la Comare (Ricci); Dinorah (Meyerbeer); Ernani (Verdi); La Fille du Régiment (Donizetti); Fra Diavolo (Auber); Der Freischütz, both leading roles Agathe and Annetta, (Weber); The Lily of Killarney (Benedict); Maritana (Wallace); Postillon de Longjumeau (Adam); Rigoletto (Verdi); Rose of Castile (Balfe); and La Sonnambula

Julie Rosewald in unknown role, possibly Prascovia in L’Étoile du Nord, given the bridal-looking veil. Frances E. Willard and Mary A. Livermore, eds., A Woman of the Century: Fourteen Hundred-Seventy Biographical Sketches Accompanied by Portraits of Leading American Women in All Walks of Life (Buffalo: Charles Wells Mouton, 1893), 1812.
Julie Rosewald’s performance as Senta in The Flying Dutchman was a “first” in California, 1877.
(Courtesy Harvard Theatre Collection, Houghton Library, Harvard University)
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(Bellini).108 Julie’s powerful technique allowed her to participate in many roles. In the spring of 1877, she toured the West with Hess’s Grand English Opera Company, appearing as Senta in Wagner’s The Flying Dutchman.109 This was “the first representative of the part seen upon the Pacific Coast.”110

The company also performed the usual repertoire of Mignon, The Bohemian Girl, Faust, and Lucia de Lammermoor.111 Julie continued to gain in reputation as a prodigious talent. Within a few years, she was an American opera star, and Meyer Kayserling in his book Die jüdischen Frauen in der Geschichte, Literatur und Kunst (Jewish Women in History, Literature and Art), published in Leipzig in 1879, wrote that “Julie Eichberg, welche im Stande ist, in vier Sprachen zu singen, gehört heute zu den beliebtesten Opernsängerinnen der Neuen Welt.” (Julie Eichberg, who is capable of singing in four languages, today belongs among the most beloved opera singers in the New World).112

For the 1877–1878 opera season, Julie decided on another European tour. On 2 May 1878, Jacob sailed for Europe to join her. On his last evening in Baltimore before sailing, Jacob got together with his friends from the Liederkranz Society for an evening of singing and receiving wishes for a bon voyage.113 He left the next afternoon on the steamship America.114

Julie’s European debut took place in Nuremburg, Germany, singing in Les Huguenots. In Berlin, she succeeded Hungarian coloratura soprano Etelka Gerster (1857–1922) at Kroll’s theater. She also sang in Amsterdam, Basle, Prague, Frankfurt, Mayence, Stuttgart, Cologne, and Dresden. The American press kept track of her progress, summarizing European reviews. The Frankfurter Zeitung was reported to write of her as “an artist by the grace of God, though there is no lack of cultivation either, and musical critics in Germany foretell for her the most brilliant success possible in an artistic career.”115

From her European tour, Julie’s reputation gained further international stature when she was lauded by Eduard Hanslick (1825–1904), one of the most influential music critics at the time. His review appeared in the Neue Freie Presse in 24 June 1880, and an English translation was published in the Rocky Mountain News in Denver, Colorado:

“Cantor Soprano” Julie Rosewald: The Musical Career of a Jewish American “New Woman” • 15
Last week brought us a very interesting star engagement of Madame Julie Rosewald, who appeared as Isabella in “Robert,” and as Gilda in “Rigoletto.” We learned to appreciate in Madame Rosewald, who in the last two years achieved a great reputation in southern Germany, a highly gifted artiste of fine musical culture, possessed of a rich and musical voice of remarkable compass. She stands, in our opinion, in the foremost ranks of our dramatic singers. The engagement of Madame Rosewald at our Royal Opera would have been the more valued because she has an unusually large repertoire. She goes to America, where our best wishes accompany her, but we hope she will soon return to Germany where such singers as she is are not numerous.

It was with the Dresden Royal Opera that Julie achieved many of her greatest successes. She was offered a three-year contract with the company, but her husband wanted to return to the United States, so she turned it down. She returned to Baltimore with him at the end of the season, in August 1880. In all, she had sung in fifty-three operas, appearing with the greats in Europe, such as tenor Theodor Wachtel (1823–1893). Her work in Europe and as a prima donna in Mayence, and especially her time with the Dresden Royal Opera, gave her an ever-increasing caché back home with American audiences.

On 12 October 1880 the Rosewalds participated in a gala marking the 150th anniversary of the settlement of Baltimore. Jacob conducted the orchestra and led members of the Baltimore Liederkranz in a lengthy afternoon program.

Emma Abbott Company Years

Following Julie’s successes in Europe, the Emma Abbott Company engaged her as a prima donna and her husband as violinist and later as conductor. The Emma Abbott Grand English Opera Company, which Emma Abbott ran both as a prima donna and impresario, was all about popular culture, accessibility, and, according to Emma, morals. Abbott, a native of Chicago, was encouraged by Kellogg to study in Europe, but she did not like it there. In 1878 Abbott
married Eugene Wetherell, and together they embarked on forming an opera company, expanding into Grand Opera. Wetherell worked with financial partners, but Abbott made all the musical and artistic decisions. Abbott’s productions were in English, with small orchestras and lavish costumes, and were produced in small venues, often dedicating or opening new opera houses. Her repertoire consisted of the most popular, established operas. The company “appeared six or seven times a week. She insisted that singers could sing that often without impairing their voices.” Abbott was considered “a singer of the people” and her opera company “strictly American.”

Julie Rosewald joined this famous company in fall 1880 and began the season on 6 September 1880 singing the role of Mrs. Ford in Nicolai’s *Merry Wives of Windsor*. Julie became friends with Emma, exchanging techniques and training, although there were also the expected professional jealousies and ups and downs. By fall 1881, she sang in New York and elsewhere throughout America as top of the billing in “grand English opera” next to the now-famous Emma Abbott.

Abbott traveled as far west as the Dakotas. She appeared in cities such as Denver and Salt Lake City. In Philadelphia, Rosewald’s reviewers warmed to her: “Miss Rosewald was somewhat out of voice at the beginning when she began the ‘Tacea del notte’ [in *Il Trovatore*]; but her voice developed unexpected power and purity in all of the succeeding scenes. She has certainly never sung any other so well here, and her acting was appropriate, intelligent, and, at times, forcible.” Jacob Rosewald worked for the Abbott troupe as violinist and, by 1883, he was billed as director of the chorus and orchestra.

One of the features of Abbott’s company, and many other traveling opera troupes of that era in America, was the practice of interpolating songs into the operas—that is, having the characters sing local or popular songs as well as the composed arias. The Abbott Company appeared throughout the South and always accommodated requests for popular songs, such as “Home Sweet Home,” as well as popular hymns and other patriotic and sentimental favorites.

“Emma Abbott Grand Opera Company” (advertisement), The Atlanta Constitution (30 December 1883): 6A.
The Rosewalds appeared with Abbott’s company at DeGive’s Opera House in Atlanta in 1882 and again in 1884.

Julie sang with Abbott’s company as “favorite prima donna” at the National Theatre in Washington in April 1884 and throughout the country during that year.

**Concertizing Artist**

As early as 1880, Julie—although living the hectic life of a traveling virtuoso with the troupe—was also a concert soloist. She participated in fundraising events for the Jewish community using her status as prima donna. For example, she sang at the B’nai Sholom synagogue in Chicago in 1880, which was reported in the *American Hebrew*:

> There was quite an assemblage present at the Michigan Ave Temple on Friday evening last; they came not to listen to the *Lacho Dodee*, but to hear the prima donna from the Royal Opera of Dresden, Madam Julie Rosewald. She is a member of the Emma Abbott Opera Troupe, and sang at the personal solicitation of Mr. Marks (the reader of the congregation), who is, I believe, a personal friend. Her choice selections were well rendered and deserved the hearty applause they received. The bird song from ‘Paul and Virginia’ was sung in a particularly happy strain, and was well adapted to exhibit her powers as a concert singer. The singing was interspersed with piano solo and selected recitations by the Misses Bertha Burge, Sadie Gatzert, and Blancha Peck, all of whom received their share of well-merited approval. The amount realized from the entertainment will be a welcome addition to the treasury of the Temple.130

The in-demand soprano maintained quite the busy schedule. For example, on 3 February 1881, Rosewald sang with the veteran Signor Pasquilino Brignoli (1824–1884) in *Il Trovatore* at the Chestnut Street Opera House in Philadelphia. She received good reviews, including this one from the *The North American*:

> Madame Rosewald has improved very much during the years that she has spent in Europe, and has gained in breadth of style and strength of voice, although at the cost of the purity and clearness of the upper notes. The tones in the middle register are, however, very fine and satisfying. Her acting in the last scenes was forcible and impressive.132

By 24 February 1881, the press reported her being in Washington, DC, and two days later, on 26 February, she performed in New York in the first American performance of Handel’s *L’allergo, Il penseroso ed Il Moderato*, with the Oratorio Society and Symphony Society. Dr. L. Damrosch was the conductor, and his son, Walter Damrosch, was organist. Other than being a “first,” the event was apparently not successful.

---
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English with a German accent. She and the others clearly had an off night. The reviewer went on to claim “her voice [was] . . not always of musical quality,” and the other soloists were “disappointing.” She received a very poor review of this concert from The Independent: “Mme. Rosewald, however, did not do at all well, singing not seldom out of time and tune, while her uncertain attach and phrasing made the nervous listener about as uncomfortable as he could well be. The audiences at both rehearsal and concert were for the most part cold and unresponsive.” One wonders whether some anti-Jewish bias was at play here as Dr. Damrosch was also unusually maligned in the same Independent article, while all other performers were given an outstanding accolade. Other reviews had been harshly critical to many that evening, possibly because Handel’s music was clearly unfamiliar to many participants. Nevertheless, it is hard to believe that Julie would have been out of sync rhythmically, even if she had lacked sufficient rehearsal and the society had a bad evening.

For the most part, Julie received rave reviews around the country. The critical Boston audience loved her performances when the Abbott troupe opened there with La Sonnambula and The Bohemian Girl on 26 April 1882.

Mme. Julia Rosewald, an artiste who has enjoyed a long career of successes in her own land, and who, as one of the prima donnas of the Abbott troupe in America, seems to have been received with general favor, made her Boston debut this season at the Globe Theatre yesterday afternoon. The opera was ‘La Sonnambula,’ and it was given of course, in English. There was a good-sized audience in attendance, considering the fact that it was a Wednesday matinee, and its verdict could not but have been gratifying to the prima donna and the company generally. Mme. Rosewald found in the role of Amina excellent opportunity for the display of her finely trained and skilfully managed voice. Her style is so thoroughly finished and her method so excellent that in many respects her performance may well serve as a model. Vocally, of course, Mme.
Rosewald cannot compare with the great prima donnas who have sung of late years, the part of Amina; but her voice is clear and strong, amply sufficient for the interpretation not only of the difficult floriture assigned the role, and adding greatly to the effect of much of the concerted music. Her singing was frequently applauded.134

Julie continued traveling extensively, including to Milwaukee (where the press called her “remarkably pretty,”135) Denver, Chicago, Little Rock, and New York, where in 1883 she appeared in Strauss’s *The Merry War* at the Cosmopolitan Theater.

**Life on the Road with a Traveling Opera Troupe**

Railroads made extensive travel possible for the touring opera troupes, and they played as many towns as possible when they were on the road. Life on tour was difficult. Meals were often eaten on railroad platforms.136 Accommodations could be crowded, and the reviews were often filled with gossip. The Rosewalds, as highly respected artists and part of an esteemed touring troupe, did not experience discrimination in the ways many Jews later did. It was reported, for example, in a February 1881 *Washington Post* society column, “City Talk and Chatter,” that the Rosewalds visited “the Willards.” That same week the column reported that judges, representatives, army officers, a senator-elect, and a count from Paris, France, stayed at the same lodgings.137 There apparently was no ban against a Jewish couple at this exclusive venue, although some places by 1880 had started to bar Jews. No evidence was found that the Abbott company arranged separate accommodations for the Rosewalds during any of their tours.

Tensions, professional jealousies, and the pressures of stardom were another reality of life in an opera troupe, and the press happily joined in the fray. Towns advertised upcoming shows and touted their stars, usually the women singers who led the troupe. Opera-goers frequently bought tickets based on who was performing rather than what opera was being performed. One incident began when two Colorado newspapers started sparring over favored divas. *The Daily Register-Call*, from Central City, Colorado, ran a line on 12 September 1881: “Miss Rosewald is a far superior artiste to Miss Abbott. Everybody acknowledges this fact but the Denver press.”138 Two days later, on 14 September, *The Daily Register-Call* ran an article accusing the *Denver Tribune* of playing “probably the acurivist [sic] and diretiest [sic] trick and most unjournalistic, that has been perpetrated by the *Tribune* on that worthy artiste, Miss Julie Rosewald, since the opening of the opera season in Denver.” The dirty trick was created, the story went, by Eugene Wetherell, Emma Abbott’s husband, who blamed Julie for a poor box office showing for a performance in Denver. According to the article, Wetherell spread a rumor in the *Tribune* that Rosewald had purposely “leaked” that Abbott would not sing on a particular evening. Rosewald had not done that, but Wetherell was covering for the poor turnout for his wife and
trying to blame ticket office refunds on Rosewald.¹³⁹ From this story, it seems that Wetherell wanted to insulate his wife from suggestions that Rosewald was the bigger draw or better artist. All the tensions were unnecessary; the house was filled nearly every night. Abbott’s engagement inaugurating Tabor’s Grand Opera House in Denver was lucrative all around. In two weeks the company mounted eight full-length operas. Rosewald sang only on 8 and 14 September in night performances and the remainder in matinees.¹⁴⁰

A few months later, Julie had a scare in Kansas City, when “she either took or thought she took by mistake cyanide of potassium”¹⁴¹ instead of a cold medication. A doctor gave her an emetic, and she was soon out of danger. However, given the kinds of jealousies that were portrayed about the two singers, the incident caused a sensation and “extravagant rumors” were spread for some time afterward. One story reported that Rosewald thought a mysterious man was following her “from city to city bent either on marrying her or murdering her, she is not certain which.”¹⁴² It is hard to determine whether this was excessive fan worship—or whether it was even real—due to scurrilous reporting from some of the newspapers.

Another tour story, variations of which were reported widely, was of an oversensitive artist taking out his anger with Jacob Rosewald. According to the report, Jacob had supposedly been prone to whispering condescending remarks about a new member of the crew, a bass named John Gilbert. Jacob, the director of the production, indicated that Gilbert was singing too loudly and drowning out the soprano (Emma Abbott or, on her off nights, his wife Julie). When word got back to Gilbert, he was furious. On 5 December, 1882, Gilbert apparently challenged Jacob about the innuendos in the offices of the National Hotel¹⁴³ and

struck Rosewald in the face, knocking him down and crushing his nose. As Rosewald rose Gilbert struck him a second time…. Mrs Rosewald was so overcome that she fainted…. Miss Abbott, who was also present, did not lose the opportunity to put herself in a central position in the tableau and it is vouched for in print by several veracious Philadelphia journalists that she availed herself of the occasion to sing “Nearer My God To Thee” in four flats. One amused reporter concluded that “It is not the conductor who beats the bass but the basso who beats the conductor and puts not a head but a nose on him.”¹⁴⁴

Despite these types of incidents, the Rosewalds continued for another year and a half until spring 1884 with the Abbott Company.

**Opera Star**

Just as any other artist, Julie Rosewald promoted herself by granting interviews with reporters in various cities. Some of this publicity created a picture of her as a true and loving wife—the “normal” role for women. In 1881, while
her husband was touring separately, she stated to one columnist, “I do not wish to be separated from my husband again. Just think, 2000 miles separates us. Oh, I wonder if I have letters tonight.” The columnist concluded that “love and wifely affection are feminine characteristics of Madame Rosewald.” Julie’s personal charm and warmth were on display. She knew how to chat and be sociable with anyone. “I would have you forget my profession. I would talk of other things and other people” she told the reporter, endearing herself as one “whom you may well feel proud to call friend.” Her star status, along with her down-to-earth personal charm, were a winning combination. This interview gives insight into the tightrope that a performer needed to walk in pleasing an audience of both traditional and progressive women. On the one hand, Julie convinces the reporter that she shares the ideals of her audience as someone “wifely” and “feminine” who is focused on traditional roles and, in a romantic flourish, pines for letters from her distant husband. Yet in reality, her life as an independent, married, and working woman traveling “alone” was much more in keeping with the character of the “New Woman” in America. Rosewald’s interest in maintaining her own career outweighed any desire to follow along with her husband to his musical jobs. Her public comments paid lip service so she could declare herself an “ordinary” person with whom the audience could identify.

Another aspect of life on the road was touring with large trunks of costumes. Rosewald’s elegant opera gowns—of which she had many, for her large number of roles—were also social news, as many women were interested in the dresses of a singing star:

In her toilettes Madame Rosewald displays great taste.... She was attired in a black satin street costume, with an overdress of silk grenadine, richly trimmed with Spanish lace and jet passementerie. The dress was cut square in the neck and filled in with soft creamy lace, held in place by a butterfly of garnets. In her ears were exquisite cameo earrings and on her wrists she wore jet bands with pearls. In her wardrobe are many very rich, costly and elegant costumes.... A black velvet princesse toilet has a front of solid embroidery in jet. This is a very handsome toilet and is also very becoming. It was made by the court tailor at Vienne, from whom Madame Rosewald purchases many of her costumes.... Another beautiful dress is a white satin damaesse bridal toilet, richly trimmed with pearl passementerie.
with sides of pearl embroidery. The whole dress is beautifully trimmed with lace. Still another noticeably elegant toilet is of cream colored watered silk of heaviest texture, with embroidered bands of morning glories, in exquisite needle work, and lace trimmings.\textsuperscript{147}

Such details added to the mystique of the diva and no doubt increased box office sales.

At the peak of her career, Julie is reputed to have sung in thirty operas in one seven-week period.\textsuperscript{148} This is not surprising, given the intensive scheduling and philosophy of Abbott’s company. For example, Rosewald appeared at least four times during Thanksgiving week in Baltimore in November 1882,\textsuperscript{149} and Abbott herself sang twelve times in two weeks in San Francisco in January 1884.\textsuperscript{150} By 1883, Julie was known to have mastered seventy-five operas, prompting one reporter to claim that “Miss Abbott values her beyond limit.”\textsuperscript{151} Julie is reported to have had such a prodigious memory that she knew the roles for 125 different operas,\textsuperscript{152} even memorizing one leading role in a single night before a performance.

The grueling tour schedule began, however, to take a toll. By 1883, a New York critic started complaining about the quality of her voice:

Mme. Rosewald’s appearance lifted the curtain from by-gone days of English opera, and carried her auditors back in memory to brilliant triumphs now almost forgotten. There was a time when Mme. Rosewald had a beautiful voice, which she used with exquisite judgment, but—\textit{Troja fuit}. She was kindly received last night, and sang the polonaise from ‘Mignon’ with an occasional flash of her former excellence. In her second selection, Abt’s ‘Birdie at the casement,’ she was less successful, but after each song she received the customary recall.\textsuperscript{153}

In 1883, Julie dabbled in publishing some popular music. One song, “My Little Girl,” which was translated from the German, was published by J.N. Pattison in New York.\textsuperscript{154} While it was still unusual for a woman to compose, by late nineteenth century there was some acceptance, provided the composition was of “cultivated ballads that display the ‘vocal culture’ of the female seminary and a genteel Victorian sensibility.”\textsuperscript{155} Of course, conventions did not hinder the “New Woman” anyway, and she tried many new activities.
Julie’s popular song was received well, with the melody declared “exquisite” and written “from the soul of a true artist.” The song “possesses the elements of one of the most taking songs of the day” wrote a Duluth, Minnesota, reviewer, clearly showing a preference for this sort of cultivated ballad elevated as art.

Julie also dabbled in a bit of light poetry. She either wrote or sent in a poem, “Ingredients of a Woman,” to be published in the Kansas City Times; the San Francisco Daily Evening Bulletin reprinted it:

- Of vanity and weakness take equal measured parts,
- Then, piety and meekness plus charms and wily arts,
- Of whims then, and caprices, a handful try procure;
- Of wit, quite sharp and stinging, a good supply make sure;
- In cauldron then—of envy and temper each a slice—
  ‘Twill make compact the mixture, and boil quite in a trice;
- A pinch of curiosity then in the cauldron throw,
- Mixed with love and jealousy, to give the mass a glow;
- To make, however, all complete, one thing do not forget;
- Without a tongue, there never was a mortal woman yet.

San Francisco

Julie toured with Emma Abbott’s troupe for four years. In 1884, the Rosewalds decided to move to San Francisco, primarily due to Jacob’s health. The doctors ordered him to take it easy and move to a mild climate. As Julie told it, the Rosewalds and Abbott departed on good terms. Abbott was “ever pleased to testify to the rare ability, culture and mastery of music that distinguish Mme. Rosewald from the Atlantic to the Pacific seaboard.”

The decision to move to San Francisco gave the Rosewalds an opportunity to live in a pleasant climate and recover from life on the road and the attendant public scrutiny. Julie announced plans to open a conservatory and to work primarily as a singing teacher and concert artist, rather than tour with an opera company. She and her husband traveled west with her niece, Florence Hecht. Due to her star status, the Rosewalds were of interest to the press, and their trip across the country to California was reported in many local papers as they stopped along the way. The Kansas City Star ran a front-page article on her intended engagements for the next several months in Kansas City and Chicago, and The Denver Rocky Mountain News noted when they “passed through the city.” The Rosewalds arrived in San Francisco 10 August 1884.

On 11 August, the papers announced that Jacob Rosewald would assume direction of the Pappenheim Opera Company, with Julie as a member. Other articles reported that Julie would be primarily spending her time teaching. Indeed, once she moved to San Francisco, Julie for the most part had left the opera stage, although she continued to give concerts, participate in charitable events, and travel for engagements out of town. She is not listed as a participant on the opera stage in San Francisco.
By mid-August, the Rosewalds were settled at 1018 Van Ness Avenue, where Julie set up a music studio, expecting to open it by the first of September 1884. A parlor grand Knabe piano made expressly for Jacob arrived by 16 August. However, despite all these preparations, shortly after their announced arrival in the city, Julie’s career would take an unexpected turn.

**Cantor Julie Rosewald**

Within a few weeks of her arrival in San Francisco, the Jewish community called on the Rosewalds for help in a musical emergency: Cantor Max Wolff (1839–1884) had succumbed to illness. Wolff had served Temple Emanu-El, the largest synagogue in San Francisco, for ten years, from 24 May 1874 until his death on 30 August 1884.

At the time, the congregation was well established, generally flourishing, and wealthy. However, it was recovering from a period called by some members of the congregation the “seven years of famine” from the heavy burden of paying for the mortgage of the magnificent, stately building on Sutter Street. The building—the “new sacred edifice and its grounds” had taken fifteen years to complete, nearly twenty years earlier.

In addition, the health of the beloved Rabbi Dr. Elkan Cohn (1820–1889) was failing. When Cantor Wolff died in August, less than a month before the High Holidays, it then

...threw the entire burden of the service upon the aged Rabbi. In this emergency the Congregation was able to engage the services of Madame Julie Rosewald, wife of the musician and composer, Jacob Rosewald, and herself a singer of note and remarkable gifts; and it must be stated, to the honor and credit
of that gifted woman that her fine appreciation of the needs of the service greatly delighted the Congregation and gave her an honorable place amongst those who served Emanu-El well and faithfully.\footnote{170}

Upon Wolff’s death, Julie must have been contacted nearly immediately to sing in the worship services, because she started her work with the congregation as soloist on that Rosh Hashanah. By 26 September 1884, _The Jewish Progress_ reported that, “The services of the various synagogues on Rosh Hashanah were thoroughly in accord with the solemnity of the occasion…. The singing was a feature of the service, Mrs. Rosewald at the Temple Emanu-El filling her arduous position with great credit.”\footnote{171}

Remarkably, Julie’s role as cantor of Emanu-El has been—somehow—largely forgotten or lost. Her name is not mentioned in the modern histories of either the congregation or of Reform cantorial music. Yet the role was well documented in its time. An article by Henrietta Szold (1860–1945)\footnote{172} in volume 10 of the _Jewish Encyclopedia_, originally published sometime between 1901 and 1906, includes the following in the entry about Julie Rosewald:

> For ten years, while living in San Francisco, she was a member of the choir of Temple Emanu-El, singing and reciting, in place of a cantor, the parts of the service usually sung and recited by that functionary—\textit{the only instance known in which a woman has led the services in a synagogue.} [italics and emphasis added].\footnote{173}

Szold also wrote Rosewald’s biographical entry in the 1904–1905 _American Jewish Year Book_, which states that Julie was “for ten years solo soprano at Temple Emanu-El, San Francisco, during that time singing and reciting the parts of the service usually sung and recited by a Cantor, in place of that functionary.”\footnote{174}

_The American Jewess_, a journal aimed at a female Jewish audience, published an 1896 article “The Jewess in San Francisco” further corroborating Rosewald’s role:

> The name of Mme. Rosewald is synonymous with music. As the mention of a flower recalls its perfume, so her name suggests a world of melody. For years she was the principal singer in the choir of the Temple Emanuel and is at present a teacher of voice culture at Mills Seminary. In every musical venture she is interested, and her influence has done much toward sustaining a high ideal in matters musical.\footnote{175}

This article, written while Julie was still actively engaged in her career, provides an additional independent report that describes her in her long-term capacity as a “principal singer” at Temple Emanu-El.

The length of Julie’s service as a cantor argues that she served as more than an “emergency substitute” for the cantor.\footnote{176} Her lengthy service may have been due to fiscal restraints, or possibly the reasons were more about the “delight
of the Congregation.” In any case, she was retained for more than nine years in the singing role of the cantor and, as reported, led the services and directed the organist.177

Further evidence that Rosewald’s role was more than a mere substitute for the missing male cantor comes through an extended tribute article written after her passing. In The Emanu-El, the congregation’s weekly publication, Rabbi Jacob Voorsanger (1852–1908) answered some of the questions about the nature of her work and attitudes toward her as premier soprano of the Temple:

Her position in that respect was exceedingly unique. She came to her duties almost immediately after the death of Cantor Wolff and for a number of years, in collaboration with the late organist Schmidt, she controlled and directed the music of the services until her retirement shortly after the advent of Cantor Stark. During all these years Madam Rosewald, often lovingly called the “Cantor Soprano,” made her services a source of the greatest delight to all of her hearers. She combined the highest degree of musical ability with a pious disposition and a fair understanding of Hebrew, having been trained in the school of her late father who was Cantor at Stuttgart, Germany. It was this remarkable combination that made the services of the Temple in her time attractive in the highest degree and gave pleasure as well as edification to the numerous attendants. In this work she was also assisted by her late husband, the late J.H. Rosewald, a master of music of the highest order, and the services rendered by the Rosewalds to the Temple Emanu-El constitute a piece of history that will not easily be forgotten.178

In an earlier article in The Emanu-El, Voorsanger referred to Julie as “the distinguished singer in Israel,”179 a term (along with “sweet singer in Israel”) often associated with cantors.

“Cantor,” which means “singer” in German, began to supplant use of the Hebrew hazzan following the lead of Salomon Sulzer, chief cantor in Vienna. The term initially was associated with Reform understandings of the cantorate. Many American Reform synagogues of this period got along without a hazzan, as the organist or choral director often had musical authority. Strong musical leaders “could put their stamp on a synagogue.”180 Referencing Julie Rosewald as “Cantor Soprano” and recognizing her leadership in choosing music and directing the choir was yet another indicator of the acceptance she had as a leader.
who helped shape the musical direction of the temple.

To further understand how Julie came to the position as cantor, it is essential to remember that she was potentially the perfect candidate based, in part, on her knowledge of the cantor’s art.181 As a German Obercantor’s daughter who studied in her father’s school, she knew the liturgy intimately. She also knew a considerable amount of Hebrew. While not entirely rare, a woman with Hebrew skills was still unusual in nineteenth century America. Rosewald benefited from her early education under her father’s tutelage. Jewish education for women in 1850s and 1860s Germany had grown beyond a time when “women’s religious education was minimal, consisting of a mixture of morals, religion, reading, and writing,” usually in German or Yiddish.182 In many parts of Europe, rabbis in Reform settings were teaching girls in schools and women played an ever increasing role in congregations.183 Still, her skills exceeded that of most of her female contemporaries.

Additionally, she was part of a highly involved Jewish family with extensive experience in performing Jewish music publicly. She had frequently sung as a soloist with her husband at the organ at various Jewish occasions. It was also not uncommon in San Francisco at that time to engage women as musical soloists in churches. The Jews of Temple Emanu-El would have felt comfortable emulating their Christian neighbors in having a female musical soloist lead the congregation.184

In addition to her unique qualifications Jewishly, Julie had sophisticated musical taste, a wonderful voice, a prodigious memory, and was a quick study at any music. She was also a highly respected, world-class, nationally renowned singer, a star of the operatic stage. Opera crossed the boundaries of popular and elite entertainment, and her reputation was widely known. She had just spent the previous decade experiencing a nineteenth-century version of today’s Hollywood celebrity. In San Francisco, her singing continued to be in demand outside of Jewish circles, as well—she participated from time to time in the choir of the local Catholic Church (and later sang at ecumenical events, such as the Thanksgiving Day services at the Central Presbyterian Church on 26
November 1884). Given Julie’s accomplishments and the open spirit in California, one can easily imagine how the board or the overburdened Rabbi Cohn would have raised her name to ask her to fill in until a “real” (that is, male) cantor could be secured.

The timing of the Rosewalds’ arrival in San Francisco had been a fortuitous coincidence for the congregation’s emergency need. Yet, even after Dr. Jacob Voorsanger was elected “junior rabbi” of the congregation on 14 June 1886 to replace Abraham Illch (1858–1885) and relieve the pressure from Cohn, Julie continued in her role for another seven years. During that time, Jacob Voorsanger became senior rabbi in 1889. Having started his own career as an Orthodox cantor, Voorsanger had transformed himself into an eminent Reform rabbi, writing widely in the American Jewish press, and culminating his career with the position at Temple Emanu-El. As a self-educated man, he may have been more open to people who gained expertise on their own. Not only cantors, but “many American rabbis of the nineteenth century had little rabbinic or academic training,” so a lack of “credentials” was not a barrier to placement in synagogues at that time. Furthermore, Voorsanger was publicly a “militant Americanist, ever embattled and unsparing in his denunciation of virtually all Jewish custom and traditions that might make Jews appear oriental and unwestern.” No doubt he would have viewed a woman singer as a perfectly acceptable part of Western tradition and the exclusion of women as part of an illogical cultural past. Voorsanger’s influence would, without doubt, have been instrumental in keeping Julie in the position of “Cantor Soprano.” If he had opposed her in that role, it is unlikely her tenure would have lasted as it did.

Julie’s roles as soloist and cantor at Temple Emanu-El were reported openly to the greater San Francisco community; her status was well known throughout the city. The local newspaper, *The San Francisco Daily Evening Bulletin*, ran an announcement in 1888 confirming that Julie had selected the music for the upcoming High Holiday services. “During the approaching Jewish holidays a large number of new compositions will be heard here for the first time, having been recently imported by Mme. Julie Rosewald, the cantor soprano of Temple Emanu-El.”

It is important to note that the reference to Julie as “cantor soprano of Temple Emanu-El” was in conjunction with public promotion of her to the general community as the arbiter of new, quality, and tasteful music. That year, she introduced Felix Mendelssohn’s “Hymn of Praise,” (from his Lobgesang) written for Queen Victoria’s Jubilee, and a new soprano solo by Saint-Saëns. She also brought to temple services for the first time “standard choruses” of Haydn, Mozart, Gounod, and Hiller, as well as compositions by the local temple organist, Louis Schmidt, an in-demand church organist who also started a symphony club and founded an early San Francisco conservatory. Additionally, Julie added “Hear ye Israel,” from *Elijah*, as well as selections from Beethoven.
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and Mendelssohn. It is very likely that the “standard choruses” were those that later found their way into the 1897 edition of the *Union Hymnal*. These would be, “O Worship the King (Psalm 104),” set to music by Haydn; “Not Alone for Mighty Empire,” set to music of Mozart; “O Lord of Hosts (Out of the Deep),” set to Gounod’s music; and “Praise O Jerusalem” from “A Song of Victory,” by Ferdinand Hiller (1811–1885). The Hiller song is taken from a larger work, *Israels Siegesgesang (Israel’s Victory Song) for soprano solo, chorus and orchestra: op. 151* (1871), with the English adaptation by J. Troutbeck (1832–1899). The piece had received an enthusiastic London debut in 1880 and had been widely adopted in the Jewish community, so that by 1897 it was included in the listing of one hundred published anthems appropriate for synagogue use in the *Union Hymnal*. These anthems were primarily musically patterned after Protestant hymns or adapted from well-known classical music selections, just as the ones Julie introduced to Temple Emanu-El.

Bringing new music to the congregation was a major feature of Julie’s role as a cantor. Many nineteenth-century Jewish congregations relied on their (often Christian) organists for music and choir direction. There was little published liturgical music in the United States at that time that was specifically Jewish. For the most part, each congregation had to rely on local resources, and the repertoire was “based on contemporary European classical favorites, above all the music of the bourgeoisie, tastefully performed by the cantorial soloist while the worshippers sang hymns directly inspired by four-square, four-part Protestant standards.”

It is also likely that the musical selections Julie brought to San Francisco emulated the pattern established by Cantor Alois Kaiser (1842–1908), who worked in Baltimore’s Oheb Shalom congregation starting in 1866. During the years the Rosewalds lived and worked there, Kaiser started writing new synagogue compositions, using a prayer book by Rabbi Szold as liturgy. “Cantor Kaiser transposed operatic arias and classical composition to be used as melodies for the synagogue.”

The texts were mostly
in English. The Rosewalds undoubtedly knew Kaiser through the Szold family and musical connections, and Julie would have been familiar with his work.

At the memorial services for Rabbi Elkan Cohn on 7 April 1889, Julie sang the solo selections, including “Oh, Stay Thy Tears,” from Beethoven, and the solo parts of “Lord, What is Man?” by Bach. The service for this beloved figure was well attended by Jews and non-Jews from the San Francisco area.\(^{198}\)

It cannot be verified whether Julie’s role as “Cantor Soprano” was a paid position. Most Temple Emanu-El records were destroyed in the great earthquake and fire of 18 April 1906, with only the brick structure of the building surviving.

Both Jacob and Julie Rosewald had backgrounds that qualified them to serve in various capacities for temple worship. Jacob often was \textit{ba’al k’ria}, a Torah reader.\(^{199}\) It is well documented that singers in San Francisco’s synagogue choirs of that period were trained professionals, and many vocalists vied for these positions.

To the credit of the synagogues, it should be said that they pay … the largest salaries. With a cultivated taste for the best music and with a love for the majestic chanting and reverent choral responses, the Jewish people have always contributed liberally for the choirs…. They have always sought the best singers and the fact of employment in one of the synagogues was a certificate of excellence.\(^{200}\)

Professional musicians were hired to handle the difficult music that amateurs could not master. Originally, many Reform choirs in the United States were voluntary, but after the 1840s, “good music” was especially valued and incorporated into the services.

While Reform congregations throughout America in this era hired professional musicians, these mixed choirs of men and women were the subjects of continuous debate in the national Jewish press. These debates touched on the legitimacy of Reform Judaism and the appearance of Judaism to the non-Jewish world. Rabbi Isaac Mayer Wise had advocated including women in choirs, but the debates continued over four decades. Wise had argued not only against the Talmudic prohibition of women singing but that such an attitude to exclude women was not part of a general Western musical world view.\(^{201}\) By the 1880s, mixed choirs were part of the Reform landscape. For example, in 1885 The Temple in Atlanta had the best-trained voices they could afford. “In many other Reform congregations of that time the emphasis was on good music and technically-trained singers, which meant, for most of them, Christian music and Christian musicians.”\(^{202}\) Organs were introduced after 1838, with the first organ in Charleston, South Carolina. By the 1880s, the use of organ accompaniment during Jewish worship was widespread in cities with Reform congregations.
The regular Sabbath music Julie used in services over the course of her tenure is somewhat conjecture, but it likely continued as adaptations of classical repertoire requiring trained musicians. Music at Temple Emanu-El strived to be sophisticated and elaborate. Julie introduced compositions from temple organist Louis Schmidt. Judging from this, one can surmise that the majority of compositions came from high-level standard classical composers and local contemporaries such as Schmidt.203

San Francisco’s Temple Emanu-El did not seem to have been infected by the new “cantorial craze in America which raged throughout the 1880s and 1890s” with the influx of eastern European Jews.204 The temple leaders believed that a cantor should be someone who could raise the level of musical performance and provide decorum to the services, not necessarily someone to give extended improvisatory chazzanut. The temple board took their time to replace their professional full-time cantor until they found the right person, which indeed they did in Edward Stark, the cantor who succeeded Julie Rosewald in late October 1893.

Stark eventually became known as a leading American cantor and synagogue composer. The years between Wolff and Stark are precisely the years that Julie was called upon to step up and serve until a new official cantor could be found.

Early records of the Sabbath services under Cantor Stark also give clues as to the musical nature of the services during Julie’s tenure. One such record describes the complexities and functioning of cantor, choral, and organ roles. The cantor soloist stood below on the pulpit to sing, while the choir and organist, and occasionally orchestral instruments, performed in the balcony, including during the High Holidays.205 The distance between the choir loft and the bimah was described as some thirty feet below the choir. The director, facing the congregation, was able to signal the organist and the choir by means of an electric buzzer.

Cantor Stark initially worked with the same organist as Julie, Louis Schmidt. Schmidt’s continuity as organist probably led to a similar continuation of musical style in the services, until Cantor Stark started composing his own works and bringing in his newer, eclectic style.207 Later, Julie was a fellow faculty member with Schmidt at the Mills Conservatory of Music.
In addition to their musical ventures, Jacob Rosewald was involved in business, and he and Julie amassed considerable wealth. Jacob was on the board of directors for the Enterprise Mutual Building & Loan Association and is recorded as dabbling in real estate speculation and “flipping” properties for quick profits. The Rosewalds lived in an expensive neighborhood and were listed in the directory of San Francisco’s Jewish elite. Their names appeared in the local newspapers at society dinner parties and socials, and they enjoyed all the status of the wealthy in the San Francisco Jewish and general community. They gave generously to local community and Jewish charities and often performed at charitable fundraisers and fashionable musical evenings. They must have owned some impressive riches—for example, an article in the local newspaper described the loss of Julie’s expensive jewelry, some of which were “investments.”

As a member of the wealthy class, Julie could travel. On 26 May 1888, for example, she sailed on the Umbria to England and then went to France. She spent a few months during the summer in Paris, staying at 11 Rue Volney, just a few short blocks from the Paris opera house. She also used the time and traveled to Switzerland and elsewhere in Europe.

Julie Rosewald is noted to have enjoyed lifelong work, a commitment typical of the New Woman. Toward the end of her tenure with the temple, she was approached to start the vocal department at Mills College. She did so, fulfilling her original, albeit delayed, vision in settling in San Francisco. All of this activity presents a solid résumé of continuous employment, from the stage, to the bimah, to the lecturn.
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Voice Pedagogue in San Francisco

As a teacher in San Francisco, Julie was highly regarded and widely recognized: "Her success as a vocal teacher, has been that of a material genius, and beyond all question she is recognized as incomparably the Marchesi of the Pacific Coast." She became a private vocal coach and principal music teacher in the singing department at the Conservatory of Music of Mills College in 1894, after her retirement from Temple Emanu-El.

Between 1870 and 1900, women were quickly added to rolls at American colleges. In 1870, "less than one-third of all American colleges admitted women, by 1900 almost three-fourths did so." The addition of a female vocal coach would have been part of the explosion in higher education for women, especially in the arts, which was part of the general trend for the New Woman.

Julie served as professor of vocal music at Mills College from 1894 to 1897, although the *Jewish Encyclopedia* placed her as professor there through 1902, the year when she retired from "professional activities." The discrepancy may be due to an emerita status.

Julie was forward-thinking in many respects. In 1891, previous to her employment at Mills College, she published an important volume, *How Shall I Practice? Practical Suggestions to Students of Vocal Music*, which outlines in detail her vocal technique and methods of pursuing good tones. This volume tries to help women singers liberate themselves physically (at least somewhat) from the confining dress of the day. As part of this method, she taught practical breathing exercises for women vocalists.

She recommended: "Breathe naturally, like a man or an infant whose ribs have never been compressed by stays." She went on to say: "Divested of your stays, and with loose clothing, assume a recumbent position, without a pillow, so that the shoulder blades strike a flat surface." After explaining the breathing technique, she continues: "In a few days you will be able to go through this breathing exercise when fully dressed and wearing your stays, remembering, however, that the latter should be worn at all times as loosely as possible, and fastened merely with an elastic cord."

This approval of women wearing more sensible clothing became one of the characteristics of the New Woman, and Julie’s advice and the attitudes...
it conveyed were widely read. Her book received favorable reviews; according to one, “she brings efficient aid to the learner by a clear and simple method of practice based upon scientific knowledge and rational exercise of the vocal organs.”\textsuperscript{217} Julie’s recommendations on breathing and wearing loose clothing made a favorable impression outside the music world as well. Her breathing techniques were quoted in medical journals, and her instructions about loosening stays became part of wider discussions on the negative impact of this confining clothing style for all women. Her advice also emphasized the importance of deep breathing.\textsuperscript{218}

Julie’s music teaching method was considered on a par with the best of the Italian school of vocal technique at the time. A number of her pupils went on to stage and concert careers, including Marie Bernard (1865–1945), who sang with the Mendelssohn Quintet Club of Boston and, as Marie Barna, with the Damrosch-Ellis Opera; Carrie Millzner Hamilton (1868–1946), of the Bostonians opera company;\textsuperscript{219} Rita Newman Fornia (1878–1922), who sang with the Metropolitan Opera and the Kaltenborn String Quartet;\textsuperscript{220} Sybil Sanderson (1865–1903), who went on to sing with the Opera Comique in Paris;\textsuperscript{221} Anna Miller Wood, a mezzo contralto of Boston, who sang with the Kneisel Quartet and the Boston Symphony Orchestra and also enjoyed success on the stage and as a singing teacher;\textsuperscript{222} Jennie Winston, a soprano who became head of the vocal department of the Marlborough and Girl’s Collegiate School and was a soloist at Immanuel Presbyterian Church;\textsuperscript{223} Mrs. M.E. Blanchard, who became head of the department of vocal music of Mills College and solo contralto of St. Joseph’s Roman Catholic Church and the Geary-Street Synagogue;\textsuperscript{224} and Mabelle Gilman,\textsuperscript{225} who became a comic opera singer.

Julie was so well known as a top musician that businesses wanted to use her name for prestige. In 1900, ads for a local music company, Heine Piano, appeared with her name, promoting a product that claimed to be endorsed by “all prominent musicians.”\textsuperscript{226}

In April 1887, the pianist Samuel Fabian returned from a successful European tour and connected musically with the Rosewalds. His first concert was with full orchestra, and Julie sang for part of the event. Later that year, on 20 October 1887, Jacob Rosewald initiated a chronological series of four educational reviews that presented the musical pieces from each time period. Fabian performed, as did Julie and two of her pupils, Marie Bernard and Carrie Millzner. The works started with a concert of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries and showed “evidence of the interest taken in the study of music on the Pacific coast.”\textsuperscript{227} “Mr. Rosewald prefaced each number with brief remarks concerning the composer and the period in which he lived and worked.”\textsuperscript{228} Julie also performed in the second concert, which took place on 4 November 1887.\textsuperscript{229} The evenings continued and were so successful that they were considered one
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of the highlights of the musical season.\textsuperscript{230}

Jacob Rosewald was active in myriad pursuits in San Francisco, including conducting, lecturing, and writing. One of his happiest pastimes was writing sketches for the High Jinks, a series of outdoor entertainments of the Bohemian Club. In early October 1895, Jacob was unanimously elected as conductor of the Philharmonic Society of San Francisco.\textsuperscript{231} He was never able to really serve in that post, however. On 24 October, Jacob was visiting friends at the Bohemian Club when, around 8:00 PM, he experienced pain in his side. He went home to rest, and by midnight he was experiencing extreme pain. His fatal heart attack came at around 3:00 AM Friday, 25 October 1895, and he died almost immediately.\textsuperscript{232} As he was one of America’s most famous conductors at the time, Jacob Rosewald’s sudden death was reported around the country.

Julie Rosewald’s Later Years

After her husband’s death, Julie Rosewald took a trip east to Baltimore and presumably visited with family there. She had returned to San Francisco by 31 December 1895.\textsuperscript{233} For several years, Julie taught and engaged in an independent lifestyle in San Francisco. She had always exhibited an unconventional streak—continuing on the musical stage after marriage, serving as a cantor—and now, she followed other new trends. One such trend was the New Woman’s participation in sports or “sweaty athleticism,”\textsuperscript{234} as society’s view of exercise as detrimental was rapidly changing. An interview in the Philadelphia Inquirer discussed Julie’s interest in bicycling, a new and fashionable sport that she engaged in for the health aspects. San Francisco had a new biclorama, located at Market, Tenth, and Stevenson streets, where citizens could ride without braving the hills or testing their brakes in the city. Women could ride separately to retain a level of modesty. Julie, however, used her bicycle everywhere:

Even with the closest inspection I have failed to find the tiniest symptoms of spinal meningitis or any other threatening horror. On the contrary, where before I was afflicted with all the small torments that most women suffer from, I am now a perfectly healthy woman, with sound nerves. Three years ago I had rheumatism, neuralgia and all the aggravations a sedentary life can give one. I went East, described all my ailments, and then waited for my course of treatment, my European trip and array of medicine bottles. But instead of what I expected the doctor said: ‘Buy a wheel, and ride every day of your life.’ So I did, and scandalized all San Francisco, while my friends stood by and said: ‘How perfectly shocking! How can you do such a thing.’ But I persisted, and now they have discovered the wisdom of my ways. Wherever I went I took my wheel. My ailments all disappeared, my weight was reduced twenty or twenty-five pounds, and I was strong and well in every particular.\textsuperscript{235}

Around 1898, Rosewald decided to leave San Francisco to “travel the world,” and she remained away for about four years. During this time, she began to have
up-and-down episodes of ill health. She went to Baltimore in the winter of 1901 and sang some solos at a benefit concert sponsored by the Baltimore chapter of the National Council of Jewish Women at its fourth annual entertainment.\(^{236}\) In April 1901, a notice announced that her friends “will be glad to learn of her complete restoration to health and of her intent to return to California during the summer.”\(^{237}\) Rosewald did return to San Francisco in August 1901, where she took up teaching again. Her arrival in the city was announced in the papers on 1 September 1901.\(^{238}\) Within a few months, she had reestablished her teaching practice, and on 7 March 1902 her pupils gave a substantial public recital at the Sherman & Clay Hall.\(^{239}\) Despite all her attempts to stay healthy, Julie suffered from ill health and was forced to try another cure in Europe. She retired from teaching only six months after her return and planned to leave for Marienbad, Germany, in early April 1902.\(^{240}\) This is most likely the reason that she did not participate at any Bohemian Club operatic performance that year.\(^{241}\) Sometime between spring 1902 and spring 1906, Julie became a partial invalid.

When she retired from teaching in 1902, Julie Rosewald was one of the most beloved opera singers of her generation. In the article, “Early Opera in America” C.D. Hess included Julie as one of America’s greatest operatic stars\(^{242}\)—high praise indeed, considering how many prima donnas were active
When San Francisco experienced the terrible earthquake and fire of 18 April 1906, Julie Rosewald’s home at 922 Geary Street and income properties were in ruins. Julie was still away at the health springs in Wildebad, Germany. When she learned of the destruction, she apparently panicked or was in shock, thinking she had been ruined financially. She read accounts of the disaster and had seen a map in the San Francisco quarterly *Argonaut* of the area with burned houses. By May, she decided to leave Germany. She thought she should have to take up teaching again and planned to do so upon her return in the fall to Baltimore, where her sister Antonie still lived. As she wrote in a letter dated 4 June 1906 to the editor of the *Argonaut*:

Your chart of the burned district showed me that the houses from which I derived my only income had not escaped, and that I, like many other thousands, must take up work again in order to earn enough to live on. What I regret most deeply is that this task must be accomplished elsewhere. I shall have to labor away from San Francisco where I toiled so many years, because that city will for a long time have more pressing needs than for singing teachers.

Originally, when Julie made out her then-current will, she had assets in excess of thirty thousand dollars and had intended to leave large sums of money to charities and relatives. This will specified donations to University of California for a “Rosewald Memorial Fund”; Mills College; Children’s Hospital; and many other charitable organizations helping children. Upon hearing of the great destruction in San Francisco and mistakenly believing she no longer had any substantial holdings through her real estate incomes, she changed her will and sent notification to lawyers in Baltimore, where she planned to live upon returning to the United States. This second will was dated 31 May 1906 and superseded the San Francisco will.

Less than two months later, Julie suffered a sudden paralysis. She was dead within three days, on 16 July 1906, although no one close-by had thought the situation was life-threatening. She died believing that her fortune had been wiped out, as her San Francisco lawyers and financial consultants did not know of her concerns and did not contact her to inform her that her estates were reasonably intact. Her law firm, located at 1817 Jackson Street in San Francisco (the same offices as Buckingham & Hecht, her sister’s family shoe manufactory), did not know of the existence of a second will and sent the first will to probate on 28 July 1906. The considerable and generous charitable gifts were widely announced in the press the next day.

Unfortunately, by early August, the executors of her estate, Bert R. Hecht and Irvin J. Weil, learned of the second will and had the sad duty to inform the various charities that they would not be receiving those substantial gifts, as
“Madam Rosewald, being in Europe, and not fully acquainted with the state of her affairs, believed that her property had so greatly diminished in value that it would not suffice, even approximately to cover the bequests made in her will.” Their hands were tied, and, as they explained to the Board of Regents of the University of California: “While the University will not therefore, receive the legacy which Madam Rosewald much desired to leave it, this is one of the consequences of the calamitous events of last April, and is not due to any diminution of Madam Rosewald’s benevolent intentions, or her respect for and appreciation of the work of the University of California.” The rescission of these gifts was not widely known, and that year, the *American Jewish Year Book* published a report about Julie Rosewald’s gifts based on the originally announced bequests.

Her unexpected passing was mourned by the entire San Francisco community. As one writer stated:

> Madame Rosewald leaves a host of mourning friends and disciples behind her. She was an excellent woman and an excellent musician, and her place will not be filled. To have known her was a privilege and a benefit; and her influence will long be felt among California women to whose musical training she devoted her great talent and her best years.

Julie Rosewald requested that her remains be cremated in Germany. Apparently her ashes were brought back to San Francisco shortly thereafter, and interred by her sister Antonie next to her husband’s grave at Home of Peace, a cemetery affiliated with Temple Emanu-El in Colma, California. The name of this sweet singer of Israel was inscribed on the same monument with her husband.

**Conclusions**

Julie Rosewald’s life and career reflect that of an independent, successful Jewish woman and musician. It is sobering to realize, however, that while she was clearly well known and respected during her lifetime, her story has largely been lost both in the musical and Jewish historical record. If such a prominent Jewish woman’s life story has been glossed over within the last century, how many other Jewish women were participating in similar
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progressive and creative ways that have not yet been uncovered?

Here was a woman who was able to achieve fame and stature in America without denying her religion. She performed music as part of the Jewish community and Jewish worship. As a highly appreciated performer, she was free to travel and stay at fine hotels, and she was integrated into her musical troupes, even after marriage. Julie was able to hold a position on the faculty of a college as a full professor and department head. She was a published author and respected musical pedagogue. She did all this while remaining openly Jewish and an active member of a temple, performing as a religious functionary in a large, prestigious synagogue that was influential on a national level—all before 1902. She had a musical career on the concert stage and in the choir loft of her synagogue. She partnered with her husband, a gifted conductor, violinist and educator. Jacob Rosewald’s energies were focused on playing, conducting music and building institutions of good-quality music in the communities in which they lived. They both contributed widely to the local arts scenes, even while being nationally renowned in their respective fields.

Importantly, Julie Rosewald’s career sets the historical record of when a woman first served as a cantor in an American synagogue to 1884. This reflects a Jewish identity fluid with contemporary American beliefs and trends, especially concerning her role as a woman dedicated to an artistic career. Julie’s nine years of singing as “Cantor Soprano” was known in her day both in the Jewish and general communities. Her role was recorded in a widely read Jewish woman’s journal and in the premier *Jewish Encyclopedia*.

Julie’s view of herself fit snugly with the contemporaneous American movement that came to be called the “New Woman.” This view suited her taste for independence and a lifelong commitment to creative work outside the confines of a domestic sphere. While she did marry, she also lived the life of a career woman and international opera star. She operated in an increasingly open society that experimented and stretched the boundaries of women’s activities, and she stretched that openness into her Jewish world. She was clearly faithful to Judaism as she understood it in the context of the nineteenth-century American Reform movement. Despite traditional Jewish prohibitions against women leading synagogue singing she found her niche in the Reform movement, which not only accepted her as a member of a mixed choir, but allowed her to lead services as a soloist. While her activities as a cantor were not formally ‘sanctioned’ by the fledging institutions of Reform in America, neither was she condemned for her actions. Her congregation and rabbi accepted her role leading the singing as cantor in synagogue. Her “Cantor Soprano” years became a precursor for future American Jewish female cantors.

Julie’s story demonstrates a certain level of success for Jews in the arts in late-nineteenth-century America. True, many Jewish elite in San Francisco and other cities at that time experienced a “parallel society” to the Christian elite.255
In the musical world, there were some overt prejudices against Jews, such as exclusion from the then-existing San Francisco Musical Society, which barred the Rosewalds. However, another non-Jewish organization, the Pacific Musical Society, admitted Jews, and Julie was an active member there. For Julie, these proved to be minor issues. In general, as an independent woman, she blended into and was active in both worlds. She achieved relatively high status in both musical society and general society not only by virtue of her fame, money, family connections, and German lineage, but because of her talents, which were needed and appreciated. Her success is merely one of many indicators that America would achieve greatness as a cultural center by welcoming all and providing opportunity to those with talent and ambition. In the United States, a more meritocratic system was forming—and ultimately would prevail—in which being a Jew would not be a decisive barrier to success in the arts. Julie’s life story is one excellent early example of this trend.
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